IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

KATHY KRUSE, as Special Administrator of the Estate of MICHAEL KRUSE, deceased; PORSHA CAYENNE KRUSE, a minor, by her guardian and next friend, ROSALIE SKEEL, MICHAEL JOSEPH KRUSE, a minor, by his guardian and next friend, ROSALIE SKEEL,)

Plaintiff,

VS.

MICHAEL F. SHEAHAN, in his official Capacity as the Sheriff of Cook
County Illinois and his individual capacity,
CALLIE L. BAIRD, in her official capacity as
Director of the Cook County Jail and in her
individual capacity, Deputy Cook County
sheriff TIMM, RICHARD ROE 1 and 2 and other
unknown Deputy Sheriff employees of Michael
SHEAHAN, Sheriff of Cook County, Illinois,
in their Official and individual capacities,
Defendants.

JUDGE PALLMEYER CO

MAGISTRATE JUDGE LEVIN

04C 6130

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS TRIAL BY JURY

COMPLAINT

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. The jurisdiction of the Court is invoked pursuant to the Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. Sections 1983 and 1988; the Judicial Code, 28 U.S.C. Sections 1331 and 1343; the Constitution of the United States; and pendent jurisdiction.
- 2. Venue is proper because the acts and events that gave rise to this Complaint occurred within the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division.

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff, KATHY KRUSE, is a citizen of the United States and a resident of Illinois. Plaintiff is the Special Administrator of the Estate of MICHAEL KRUSE, deceased. Plaintiff brings this suit as administrator of the estate of MICHAEL KRUSE.

- 4. Plaintiff's decedent is MICHAEL KRUSE, a citizen of the United States and a resident of Illinois. MICHAEL KRUSE died on May 26, 2004, as a result of the wrongful acts of the Defendants and others not yet known to Plaintiffs.
- 5. Plaintiff, PORSHA CAYENNE KRUSE, a minor, is a surviving child of the decedent, MICHAEL KRUSE.
- 6. Plaintiff, MICHAEL JOSEPH KRUSE, a minor, is a surviving child of decedent, MICHAEL KRUSE.
- 7. Defendant, MICHAEL F. SHEAHAN ("Sheriff SHEAHAN") is the Sheriff of Cook County, Illinois. As such, he is the commanding officer of each of the Defendants, Deputy Cook County Sheriff TIMMS, and RICHARD ROE, as more fully set forth below.
- 8. Sheriff SHEAHAN is also responsible for formulating and supervising the policies, customs, and regulations of the Sheriff's Office of Cook County, Illinois, to ensure that an individual delivered to his custody is provided with prompt medical treatment.
- 9. Sheriff SHEAHAN is the individual ultimately responsible for administering the Cook County Jail.
- 10. Defendant, CALLIE BAIRD ("BAIRD") is the Director of the Cook County Jail. She is responsible for the day to day operations of the Cook County Jail. These duties and responsibilities include, among other things:
 - (a) implementing policies to insure that inmates receive prompt medical treatment while detained in the Cook County jail;
 - (b) acting on grievances or complaints lodged by inmates including those related to medical problems;
 - (c) implementing policies to insure the reasonable safety of inmates of the Cook County jail;
 - (d) ensuring that officers and employees do not inflict physical or emotional abuse on inmates and that they ensure that detainees with medical needs receive prompt medical attention;

- (e) providing competent medical personnel;
- (f) providing adequate training for deputy sheriffs, guards and/or medical staff in identifying, treating and responding to medical complaints and needs of detainees.
- Defendant Deputy Sheriff TIMMS is a duly appointed and sworn Deputy Sheriff
 employee of Sheriff SHEAHAN and Director BAIRD.
- 12. Each of Defendants RICHARD ROE 1-2 (individually referred to herein as "Roe 1" through 2" respectively and sometimes collectively referred to herein as the "unknown Deputy Sheriffs") were duly appointed and sworn unknown Deputy Sheriff employee(s) of Sheriff SHEAHAN and Director BAIRD. On the date(s) and time(s) alleged in this Complaint, Defendants ROE 1-2, while engaging in the conduct complained of, did so in the course and scope of his/her/their employment, as employee(s) and agent(s) of Defendant SHEAHAN, Sheriff of Cook County, Illinois and Director BAIRD. More specifically:
- 13. Defendants ROE 1-2 are the employees of Sheriff SHEAHAN and Director BAIRD directly responsible for making sure that pretrial detainees in the custody of the Cook County Jail who are in need of medical care are given access to medical treatment or transported to a medical facility.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

- 14. On or about, Sunday, May 15, 2004, at approximately 5:00 p.m., the Plaintiff's Decedent, MICHAEL KRUSE, was arrested pursuant to arrest warrants executed by Palos Hills police officers. MICHAEL KRUSE was charged with armed robbery relating to incidents which occurred on August 5, 2002 and August 8, 2002.
- 15. MICHAEL KRUSE had been wrongly charged with these armed robberies in that he had an unquestionable alibi due to the fact he was working in Monterey, Tennessee at Perdue Farms Incorporated on the dates the armed robberies were to have been committed. No Palos Hills police officer ever attempted to verify his alibi.
- 16. MICHAEL KRUSE was ordered held in lieu of \$75,000 D bond by a Cook County Circuit Court judge. However, he was unable to post bond and he was eventually taken

Be to the Health

to the Cook County Department of Corrections located at 2650 S. California, Chicago, Illinois, County of Cook, and placed into the general prison population as a pre-trial detainee.

- 17. On or about May 24, 2004, MICHAEL KRUSE became ill. He immediately began to complain to the Defendants that he was experiencing severe chest pain. He also complained that he was having trouble breathing and that he was experiencing pains in his arm. He was not given an examination and he was told by several unknown Deputy Cook County Sheriffs including RICHARD ROE 1 that there was nothing wrong with him.
- 18. MICHAEL KRUSE complained constantly to the unknown Deputy Sheriffs on his tier about his symptoms from May 24, 2004 until May 26, 2004. He was denied medical treatment during that entire time. RICHARD ROE 2 told him to "shut the fuck up."
- 19. On several occasions between May 24, 2004 and May 26, 2004, MICHAEL KRUSE directed his cries for medical treatment to Defendant Cook County Deputy Sheriff TIMMS. Defendant TIMMS told MICHAEL KRUSE that there was nothing wrong with him stating, "You're making it up." Often Defendant TIMMS was playing cards with other unknown officers while MICHAEL KRUSE pleaded for medical assistance. These officers also ignored KRUSE's pleas for help.
- 20. By May 26, 2004, MICHAEL KRUSE was visibly in pain. Several unknown Cook County Deputy Sheriffs observed KRUSE in this condition but said and did nothing to assist him, despite his cries for help. He was often doubled-over using the wall for support. He was wheezing and groaning loudly. Sometime after lunch MICHAEL KRUSE lost consciousness and his skin appeared bluish in color. When Defendant TIMMS was alerted by an inmate, he refused to come to MICHAEL KRUSE's cell until after he finished a phone call which lasted several minutes. Defendant TIMMS then went to MICHAEL KRUSE's cell and observed him unconscious on his bed. TIMMS lifted MICHAEL KRUSE's arm and let it fall. He then stated, "Oh well."

- 21. Defendant TIMMS never attempted to resuscitate MICHAEL KRUSE. After several more minutes he called someone on his radio and several minutes later unknown medical personnel arrived.
- 22. MICHAEL KRUSE was pronounced dead on May 26, 2004 at 3:34 p.m. after having pleaded for medical attention for approximately three days.

COUNT I DEPRIVATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 1983

- provided medical care to MICHAEL KRUSE because of a policy, custom or regulation of Defendant SHEAHAN and/or Defendant BAIRD which merely required that pretrial detainees be allowed sporadic or occasional access to medical personnel. Deputy Cook County Sheriff's are not required to perform basic life saving procedures on pretrial detainees or to facilitate access to immediate emergency medical treatment. The policy, custom or regulation of Defendant SHEAHAN or Defendant BAIRD did not require Deputy Cook County Sheriff's to make competent medical treatment immediately accessible for pre-trial detainees in need of emergency medical care. Instead, pre-trial detainees must wait for long periods of time for access to medical treatment even when they are in need of immediate care. The policy, procedure, custom or regulation fails to include a system for identifying or diagnosing pre-trial detainees who need immediate care.
- 24. In the alternative, despite the policy, custom or regulations of defendant SHEAHAN or Defendant BAIRD to make medical treatment immediately accessible to pre-trail detainees in need of emergency treatment the acts of Defendant TIMMS, Defendants RICHARD ROE 1 and ROE 2, as well as other unknown Deputy Cook County Sheriffs and unknown Medical Staff employees failed to respond to Plaintiff's Deceased's constant medical complaints and needs over a period of three days, as described above. These acts were done maliciously, wilfully and wantonly, intentionally, and/or with reckless disregard and gross negligence or gross

recklessness and/or deliberate indifference towards the plaintiffs rights under the Fourth, Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments of the Constitution of the United States, and 42 U.S.C. Section 1983. MICHAEL KRUSE repeatedly told Defendant TIMMS, and other unknown Deputy Sheriffs that he was experiencing chest pains, shortness of breath and pain in his arms. Moreover, MICHAEL KRUSE displayed physical manifestations of his illness in that he could not stand up straight and was groaning in pain. However, no matter how often he pleaded for help he was told to be quiet or that there was nothing wrong with him. At no time was MICHAEL KRUSE ever sent for an examination or given any type of treatment. Instead, Defendant TIMMS and other unknown deputy Cook County Sheriffs told him there was nothing wrong with him.

WHEREFORE, The Plaintiffs request the following relief:

- a. Compensatory damages;
- b. Punitive damages against Defendants TIMMS, RICHARD ROE 1 and ROE 2 and other unknown Deputy Cook County Sheriffs.
- c. Reasonable attorneys fees and costs; and
- d. Such other and further relief as appears reasonable and just.

COUNT II (PENDANT STATE CLAIMS) NEGLIGENCE - WRONGFUL DEATH

Now comes the Plaintiff's Decedent MICHAEL KRUSE, by his Attorneys, Jonathan Lustig and Jerry Bischoff, complaining of defendants, MICHAEL SHEAHAN, CALLIE BAIRD, Deputy Sheriff TIMMS, RICHARD ROE 1 and ROE 2 and other unknown Deputy Cook County Sheriffs and unknown Cook County Medical Personnel, states:

- 1 25. Plaintiff re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 24 as and for Paragraphs 1 through 25 of Count II, as though fully set out herein.
- 26. The Defendants had a duty to ensure that persons in their custody who are in need of immediate medical treatment receive prompt medical care.

- 27. At the aforesaid time and place, Defendants, MICHAEL SHEAHAN, CALLIE BAIRD, Deputy Sheriff TIMMS, RICAHRD ROE 1 AND ROE 2, and other unknown Deputy Cook County Sheriffs who were negligent in one or more of the following respects:
 - (a) failed to provide medical treatment to Michael Kruse for three days despite his repeated complaints of illness;
 - (b) failed to provide medical treatment in violation of 725 ILCS 5/103-2 where Michael Kruse repeatedly complained of illness;
 - (c) failed to provide access to competent medical personnel;
 - (d) failed to implement procedures whereby pre-trial detainees in their custody in need of immediate medical care can be promptly diagnosed and given immediate access to medical treatment;
 - (e) failed to transport MICHAEL KRUSE to a hospital or medical facility despite his repeated complaints of chest pain, shortness or breath and pains in his arms;
 - (f) failed to initiate life saving techniques after MICHAEL KRUSE had lost consciousness;
 - (g) failed to provide adequate training for deputy sheriffs, guards and/or medical staff in identifying, treating and responding to medical complaints and needs of detainees.
 - 28. As a proximate result of one or more of the aforesaid acts or omissions, plaintiff's decedent, MICHAEL KRUSE, suffered injuries which caused death on May 26, 2004.
 - 29. Plaintiffs, PORSHA CAYENNE KRUSE, decedent's daughter, and MICHAEL JOSEPH KRUSE, decedent's son, have suffered pecuniary loss, damages and loss of consortium as a result of his death.
 - 30. As a proximate result of one or more of the aforesaid negligent acts or omissions, Plaintiffs, PORSHA CAYENNE KRUSE, and MICHAEL JOSEPH KRUSE, became obligated for various sums of money for the medical and funeral care and costs of decedent pursuant to the Family Expense Act, Chapter 68, Section 15 of the Illinois Revised Statutes.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, PORSHA CAYENNE KRUSE, and MICHAEL JOSEPH KRUSE, ask judgment against defendants, MICHAEL SHEAHAN, CALLIE BAIRD, Deputy Sheriff TIMMS and each of them, for damages in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional limit of the Law Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois.

COUNT III SURVIVAL ACTION

Now comes, Plaintiff, Kathy Kruse, Independent Administrator of the Estate of Michael Kruse, Deceased, by Attorneys Jonathan Lustig and Jerry Bischoff, complaining of defendants, MICHAEL SHEAHAN, CALLIE BAIRD, Deputy Sheriff TIMMS, states:

- 31. Plaintiff re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 25 of Count I as and for Paragraph 31 of Count III, as though fully set out herein.
- 32. The Defendants had a duty to ensure that persons in their custody who are in need of medical treatment receive prompt medical care.
- 33. At the aforesaid time and place, Defendants, MICHAEL SHEAHAN, CALLIE BAIRD, Deputy Sheriff TIMMS, RICAHRD ROE 1 AND ROE 2, and other unknown Deputy Cook County Sheriffs were negligent in one or more of the following respects:
 - (a) failed to provide medical treatment to MICHAEL KRUSE for more than two days despite his repeated complaints of illness;
 - (b) failed to provide medical treatment in violation of 725 ILCS 5/103-2 where MICHAEL KRUSE repeatedly complained of illness;
 - (c) failed to provide competent medical personnel;
 - (e) failed to transport MICHAEL KRUSE to a hospital or medical facility despite his repeated complaints of chest pain, shortness or breath and pains in his arms;
 - (f) failed to initiate life saving techniques after MICHAEL KRUSE had lost consciousness;

- (g) failed to provide adequate training for deputy sheriffs, guards and/or medical staff in identifying, treating and responding to medical complaints and needs of detainees.
- 34. As a proximate result of one or more of the foregoing negligent acts or omissions of the defendants, MICHAEL SHEAHAN, CALLIE BAIRD, Deputy Sheriff TIMMS, RICHARD ROE 1 and ROE 2, and other unknown Deputy Cook County Sheriffs, plaintiff's decedent, MICHAEL KRUSE, suffered personal and pecuniary damages, including conscious pain and suffering prior to his death on May 26, 2004, and had he survived, he would have been entitled to bring a cause of action for such personal and pecuniary damages and such action has survived her.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff; Kathy Kruse, Independent Administrator of the Estate of MICHAEL KRUSE, deceased, asks judgment against defendants, MICHAEL SHEAHAN, CALLIE BAIRD, Deputy Sheriff TIMMS, ROE 1 and ROE 2, and other unknown Deputy Cook County Sheriffs, and each of them, for damages in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional limit of the Law Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois.

Respectfully submitted,

lerry Bischoff, One of the Attorneys

er Plaintiff

Jerry Bischoff
Attorney at Law
1 E. Wacker Drive
Suite 2020
Chicago, Illinois 60601
(312) 853-2167

Case: 1:04-9V-0	130 Document #: 1 Filed: 09/21/	'04 Page 10 of 11 F	ageID #:10
	VIL COVER SHEET		

\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	: T	* .
ivil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filin	ng and service of pleadings of	or other papers as required by law.
at as provided by local rules of court. This form isrequired for the use of the Clerk of Cou	art for the purpose of initiat	ing the civil docket sheet. (SEE
RUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)	//	M - /11-
PLAINTIFFS KATUY KOKE AS SPECIAL ADM. FOR THIS ESTATE DEFENI	DANTS THERIFF	"I'LHAEL SHEAHAN
KATUY YOUR AS SPECIAL HUM. FOR THE	~ · ·	

RUCTIONS ON THE	E KEVERSE OF THE P	UKM.)			1 / 1
) PLAINTIFFS KATHY KOKE	AS SPRIAL A	on. Factor Es	DEFENDAN	its Sheriff "	1. CHAR SHEAM
MICHAGL KAS	SE . PARSHA KA	USE, AMINOR &	LALIE	BAIRD; DEPUT	4 112111
CHACL KRUS	€ ′		RICHARD	ROE1+2	
) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff		Country of Reside	elice of First Listen	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	IDGE PALLMEY	NOTE: IN LAN	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS D CONDEMNATION CASES, I	
	STRATE JUDGE		₹		
JERLY D.	BISC hoff ESQ R #2520	!		3130	DISTRIBUTION OF THE PROPERTY O
CHICAGO		12.853.2167			77 01
BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X"			RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in Gra Box for Plainting and One Box for Defendant)
U.S. Government Plaintiff	`	97636	2 2 2004	I [] I Incorporated of Of Business	Principal Place 4 4 14 In This State
2 U.S. Government Defendant	•	enship of Parties	Citizen of Another State		nd Principal Place D 5 D : In Another State
	in Item III)		Citizen or Subject of a	3 🗆 3 Foreign Nation	
			Foreign Country		
'. NATURE OF SUI'				D a bizzby inchesty	52 (d)
CONTRACT		RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY		OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury— Med, Malpractice 365 Personal Injury— Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal	610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketter Influenced and
151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability ☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud	☐ 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 660 Occupational Safety/Health ☐ 690 Other	820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations 13 810 Selective Service 13 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	- [] 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410
1 160 Stockholders' Suits 1 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	710 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA (1395ff)	891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Ac
1 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal Inj.	Product Liability	Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	2 893 Environmental Matters
REALPROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act ☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act
3 210 Land Condemnation 3 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	& Disclosure Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 900 Appeal of Fee
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus:	790 Other Labor Litigation	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	Determination Under Equal Access to Justice
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	444 Welfare	☐ 535 Death Penalty	i	or Defendant)	☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
290 All Other Real Property	440 Other Civil Rights	540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
L' ODIGIN (PLAC	E AN "X" IN ONE BO	X ONLY)			Appeal to
		Remanded from 4		erred from or district	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON (Enter U.S. Civil Sta	tute under which you are fil	ing and VII. PREV	IOUS BANKRUPTCY	MATTERS (For nature of
/983	write a brief statement CIVIL RIGHT		bankruptcy ma	 enter the case number and tter perviously adjudicated by ment if necessary) 	judge for any associated y a judge of this Court. Use a
VIII. REQUESTED IN		S IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND S		y if demanded in complaint:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.I	?. 23		JURY DEMAND	: No Yes □ No
IX. This case	is not a refiling of a previse a refiling of case number	iously dismissed action.	, previously dismissed	by Judge	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				,	

DATE 9/21/04

signature of attorney of record

11.64

Case: 1:04-cv-06130 Document #: 1 Filed: 09/21/04 Page 11 of 11 PageID #:11

JUDGE PALLMEYER UNION STATES DISTRICT COUK NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS MAGISTRATE JUDGE LEVIN

: Matter of

04C 6130

KRUSE V. GHERIFF MILLIA Sherring Case Number: 04

	•			•	C. P.L.				₹ 0	
EARANCES ARE I	HEREBY FIL	ED F	3Y TI	HE UND	DERSIGNED AS ATTORNEY(S) FOR:				
						\$ 5.	<u>, </u>	<u> </u>	\	
	 					<u>'0</u>		<u>~</u>	V	
					DOPER	CLE	سم	0-1		
	(A)				SED (B)		0	ठ		
TURE VILLED I	Siff				SIGNATURE 2 2 2004	COURT	35,4	عدية -		
JERRY D.	Between	,			NAME	2		·———		
ATTOKNEY A	ATTORNEY AT LAW				FIRM					
ET ADDRESS 1 E. WAC	ET ADDRESS 1 E. WACKER				STREET ADDRESS					
STATE/ZIP CHICAGO	IZ.			-, -, -, -, -, -	CITY/STATE/ZIP	<u> </u>				
EPHONE NUMBER 312, 853.	EPHONE NUMBER 312 853.216 7 FAX NUMBER				TELEPHONE NUMBER	FAX NU	MBER			
AIL ADDRESS					E-MAIL ADDRESS					
NTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON	REVERSE)				IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVERS	SE)				
MBER OF TRIAL BAR?	YES		NO	囟	MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR?	YES		NO		
IAL ATTORNEY?	YES	M	NO		TRIAL ATTORNEY?	YES		NO		
					DESIGNATED AS LOCAL COUNSEL?	YES		NO		
	(C)				(D))				
GNATURE					SIGNATURE					
AME					NAME					
IRM					FIRM					
TREET ADDRESS			STREET ADDRESS							
CITY/STATE/ZIP					CITY/STATE/ZIP					
TELEPHONE NUMBER	FAX NU	IMBER			TELEPHONE NUMBER	FAX NU	MBER			
E-MAIL ADDRESS					E-MAIL ADDRESS					
IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON	REVERSE)			 , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVERS	SE)			 -	
MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR?	YES		NO		MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR?	YES		NO		
TRIAL ATTORNEY?	YES		NO		TRIAL ATTORNEY?	YES		NO		
DESIGNATED AS LOCAL COUNSEL?	YES		NO		DESIGNATED AS LOCAL COUNSEL?	YES		NO		